

**MINUTES OF THE
GATLINBURG MUNICIPAL/REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
April 15, 2021
THURSDAY, 5:00 P.M., CITY HALL**

MEMBERS PRESENT

Robert Maples
Jackie Leatherwood
Larry Claiborne
Bud Ogle
Cam Caton
Don Smith

MEMBERS ABSENT

Kirby Smith

OTHERS PRESENT

Donna Cantrell
Bruce Cantrell
Gary Norvell
Mr. & Mrs.
Don Wilson
Hank Byma
Vimyrka Plaskett
Robert Jacks
Tammy Navanne
Jay Samtani
Vuay Samtani

Staff Representatives: David Ball, Building & Planning Director
Joe Barrett, ETDD
Penny Douglas, Executive Secretary

CALL TO ORDER AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Robert Maples called the meeting to order at 5:00 P.M. The minutes of the March 18, 2021, meeting was unanimously approved following a motion by Mr. Larry Claiborne and a second by Mr. Bud Ogle.

STAFF REPORT

Staff states that items G and H have been removed at the request of the applicants.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

OLD BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

Review and consideration of a Resolution adopting a Plan of Services for a proposed annexation of "Grouse Trail Property," being Tax Map 117, Parcel 77.04, located at Grouse Trail, requested by Cantrell Engineering & Surveying, PLLC.

Staff presented a request for a review and approval of a Resolution adopting a Plan of Services for a proposed annexation of Tax Map 117, Parcel 77.04, consisting of 9.696 acres of property located off Grouse Trail. Staff noted that the property owners have requested that the parcel be annexed by the City into the corporate limits. Staff added that the subject property is located adjacent the current corporate limits of the City and fronts on Grouse Trail which is a city owned and maintained public street. Staff explained that under the current Tennessee Code Annotated (T.C.A.), Section 6-51-102, (b)(1), states, "before any territory may be annexed under this part, the governing body of the municipality shall adopt a plan of services establishing at least the services to be delivered and the projected timing of the services." Staff also noted that the

Tennessee Code Annotated, Section 6-51-102, (b)(2), states, "The plan of services shall include, but not be limited to: police protection, fire protection, water service, electrical service, sanitary sewer service, solid waste collection, road and street construction and repair, recreational facilities and programs, street lighting, and zoning services."

Staff explained that each of the departments of the City related to the various services have reviewed the request and provided information related to their respective services and the timing that the services can be rendered to the annexed area if approved (see attached Plan of Services). Under T.C.A. 6-51-102, (b)(2), the plan of services may exclude services that are being provided by another public agency or private company in the territory to be annexed. Therefore, the electrical service and street lighting is not included within this plan of services because those services are provided to the area by Sevier County Electric System.

Mr. Bud Ogle asked if the property would have sewer service available. Staff explained that sewer service would be available through existing infrastructure. Mr. Bud Ogle also asked why the two small parcels were not going to be included into the annexation. Staff responded that the adjoining properties were under a different ownership and they had not requested annexation. Mr. Larry Claiborne ask if they were aware of the annexation? Staff questioned Mr. Wilson who stated that he was not aware if the adjoining property owners knew of the request. Staff stated that because the annexation request was initiated by the property owner, no other property owners have been included or contacted about the request. Mr. Robert Maples stated that he did not think it was the City's place to see if the adjoining property owners are aware. Mr. Joe Barrett stated that under current state law you can only annex a property with written permission.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Larry Claiborne made a motion to approve the request and Mr. Don Smith provided a second which was passed with members voting "aye".

Review and consideration of a Resolution for the Annexation of "Grouse Trail Property," being Tax Map 117, Parcel 77.04, located at Grouse Trail, requested by Cantrell Engineering & Surveying, PLLC.

Staff presented a request for a review and approval of a Resolution for the Annexation of Tax Map 117, Parcel 77.04, consisting of 9.696 acres of property located off Grouse Trail. Staff explained that the property owners have requested that the parcel be annexed by the City into the corporate limits. The subject property is located adjacent the current corporate limits of the City and fronts on Grouse Trail which is a city owned and maintained public street. The property is currently undeveloped and consists of vegetated, hilly terrain that slopes up from Grouse Trail at an approximate slope of 30% and greater.

Staff noted that the property is adjoined to the west and south by R-1 (Low Density Residential) zoned properties. Staff explained that if approved for annexation, the property will automatically be designated as R-1 District to be consistent with the adjoining property zoning. Staff further noted that based on the plan of services, all the pertinent public services that are provided by the City will be made available to the subject property upon the effective date of annexation. Staff also noted that while the services are currently available to the property, certain infrastructure such as water, sewer, and street improvements into the private property will be the responsibility of the private landowner and may or may not be infrastructure that can or will be accepted by the City as

public infrastructure. After a brief discussion, Mr. Bud Ogle made a motion to approve the request and Mr. Larry Claiborne provided a second which was passed with members voting "aye".

Review and consideration for a minor subdivision of "Mills Park, LLC," being Tax Map 118, Parcels 87 & 87.02, located at Mills Park Road, C-2 Zone, requested by Cantrell Engineering & Surveying, PLLC.

Staff presented a request for a plat approval for a minor subdivision of the "Mills Park, LLC," property located on Mills Park Road and being Tax Map 118, Parcels 87 and 87.02, to create a single parcel. Staff noted that the request consists of a minor subdivision to create a single parcel consisting of 6.71 acres. Staff added that the minor subdivision plat depicts the deletion of the interior lot line between the two (2) parcels to consolidate the properties into the single lot. Staff further explained that the property fronts on both Mills Park Road and Jay Bird Drive and contains adequate street frontage to meet the minimum frontage requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Regulations. The property is served with public water and sewer.

Staff noted that the minor subdivision plat lacks the following information: Zoning district information and setbacks; revision to the "Note 1" to correct Tax Map Information reference; Owners Signature of Ownership and Dedication; Utility Department Signature of Approval; Sevier County Electric System Signature of Approval; and E-911 Signature of Approval. After a brief discussion, Mr. Don Smith made a motion to approve the request and Mr. Larry Claiborne provided a second which was passed with members voting "aye".

Review and consideration for a preliminary PUD site plan approval for "Mills Park Village," being Tax Map 118, Parcels 87.00 & 87.02, located at Mills Park Road, C-2 Zone, requested by Cantrell Engineering & Surveying, PLLC.

Mr. Robert Maples ask what the density of the development would be. Staff stated that that when they get a final count that would be determined. Staff stated that it would be single ownership and would be rental property. Mr. Robert Maples ask about the parking. Staff stated that the parking would be with the final review. Staff stated that the road would state at the 20' width to provide the Fire Department the amount of width needed. Staff stated that there would be a total of 33 buildings, with a pool and club house to be included.

Staff presented a request for a preliminary PUD site plan approval for "Mills Park Village," being Tax Map 118, Parcels 87 and 87.02, located on Mills Park Road. Staff noted that the request consists of a preliminary PUD site plan review of a 33-unit mixed residential development to be located on 6.71 acres. Staff explained that the plan shows 33 buildings with 19 single family dwellings, one fourplex unit, and 13-six dwelling units, for a total unit count of 101 units. The plan also depicts an amenities area consisting of a pool and club house.

Staff further noted that the property fronts on Mills Park Road and Jay Bird Drive. Staff added that the proposed access to the property is depicted to come from Mills Park Road through two (2) points of access along Mills Park Road. Staff explained that the property utilities are shown to be connected in both Mills Park Road and Jay Bird Drive with water to be distributed to the property through a master meter located on the south portion of the property and through a 6" ductile iron piping system. Staff added that the water line serving units 27 through 33 is depicted as a 2" water distribution line. However, due to the location of a fire hydrant between units 27 and 28, Staff

stated that the line must be increased to a 6" line to meet minimum fire flow requirements. The sewer is shown to connect in Mills Park Road on the southwest corner of the property however, there is a portion of sewer serving the amenities area and surrounding units that needs to show the connection point to city sewer.

Staff advised that the plan indicates that the units will be served with a road system consisting of Roads "A," "B" and "C" that are shown at 20' wide streets for two-way traffic. The plan indicates that all roads will consist of 2' gravel shoulders. The preliminary PUD plan does not provide road profiles so the grade of the roads cannot be verified for compliance with the subdivision minimum design standards. Mr. Bruce Cantrell was present and noted that all the roads would meet the subdivision standards for road grade. Staff noted that the plan depicts parking for the units throughout the development but due to the lack of building and unit floor area calculations, verification that the number depicted meets the minimum required for the multi-family dwellings cannot be determined at this time. Mr. Cantrell noted that the parking was based on two spaces per unit and are distributed throughout the development. Mr. Cantrell explained that additional spaces are shown at the amenities area.

Staff noted that the plan depicts the installation of storm water piping and two (2) underground detention facilities to collect and capture the site storm water runoff. A storm water report with calculations will be needed for the final PUD site plan submittal. Staff noted that the preliminary PUD plan lacks the following information: density of development; road profile information; revised utility plan; parking space calculations; grading plan depicting finished grades; PUD setbacks need to be labeled on the site plan; sketch building elevations. Also, notes 12, 13, and 14 need to be revised on the site layout sheet.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Bud Ogle made a motion to approve the request subject to the lacking information. Mr. Don Smith provided a second which was passed with members voting "aye".

Review and consideration for a minor subdivision of "Hidden Hills Vista," being Tax Map 127, Parcel 9 and Tax Map 117, Parcels 236 & 236.03, located at East Parkway & Hidden Hills Road, C-2 Zone, requested by Cantrell Engineering & Surveying, PLLC.

Staff presented a request for a plat approval for a minor subdivision of the "Hidden Hills Vista," property located on East Parkway and Hidden Hills Road and being Tax Map 127, Parcel 9, and Tax Map 117, Parcels 236 and 236.03. Staff explained that the request consists of a minor subdivision of Tax Map 127, Parcel 9 and Tax Map 117, Parcels 236 and 236.03, to delete the interior lot lines for the creation of two (2) lots being "Lot 1" and "Lot 2." The plat depicts "Lot 1" as a 35.84-acre tract and "Lot 2" as a 1.58-acre tract. The plat shows that both tracts will contain public street frontage along East Parkway and Hidden Hills Road. The plat also depicts an existing outparcel of .03 or 1,159 square feet area that contains the "Ownby Cemetery" that is located within the boundary area of "Lot 1." The plat also shows an existing cabin structure that is located on "Lot 1" which is accessed from Hidden Hills Road. Mr. Robert Maples asked why the corner was cut out of the development. Mrs. Donna Cantrell stated that the owners had requested it be done. Mr. Vimyrka Paskett stated that in the future they hoped to utilize the outparcel as a retail and/or restaurant use. The Board asked about the cemetery and if access was being provided to the cemetery. Mr. Paskett stated that access to the cemetery was being provided and enhanced to ensure the cemetery would have adequate access. Mr. Larry Claiborne stated that an easement

would have to be in place for the cemetery. Mr. Paskett stated that a legal easement would be created for the cemetery.

Staff noted that the minor subdivision plat lacks the following information: Owners Signature of Ownership and Dedication; Utility Department Signature of Approval; Sevier County Electric System Signature of Approval; and E-911 Signature of Approval and legal easement information for the cemetery. After a brief discussion, Mr. Larry Claiborne made a motion to approve the request and Mrs. Jackie Leatherwood provided a second which was passed with members voting "aye".

Review and consideration for a final PUD site plan approval for "Hidden Hills Vistas," Phase 1-Cabin Development, being Tax Map 117, Parcels 236.00, 236.03, & Tax Map 127, Parcel 09.00, located at East Parkway at Hidden Hills Road, C-2 Zone, requested by Robert G. Campbell & Associates, LP for Seven Hills Hospitality Services, LLC.

Staff presented a request for a final PUD site plan approval for "Hidden Hills Vista – Phase 1," located on East Parkway and Hidden Hills Road, and being Tax Map 127, Parcels 8, 8.03, 9, and Tax Map 117, 236 and 236.03. Staff added that the request consists of 29 rental cabins situated in 22 detached buildings. The plan indicates that there are 20 small cabins (2 bedroom), and 9 medium cabins (3 bedroom). There are seven (7) duplex buildings containing two small cabins each and 15 single family dwellings of both the small and medium sizes. The plan also indicates that a pool amenities area will be included in Phase 1 of the development. The plan shows an overall density of .036 floor area ratio for Phase 1 which is well below the allowable 2.0 in C-2 District. The PUD property is made up of a single tract consisting of a total of 35.84 acres. The property is currently developed with a small cabin and some retaining wall structures and has undergone some excavation from a previous development plan. The property fronts on East Parkway and Hidden Hills Road and consists of steep terrain.

Mr. Gary Norvell was present and gave an overview of Phase 1 stating that there would be two pool areas and at the top there would be an event center with parking. Mr. Norvell stated he had spoken to Mr. Dale Phelps and the sewer can be split up to help alleviate some of the originally proposed individual grinder pump systems from the project. Mr. Norvell noted that a sewer line connection along East Parkway can be made which will provide for a gravity line system for a large portion of the development. Mr. Norvell stated that a master meter at Hidden Hills Road would provide the initial water service but that the next phase of development that includes a new meter off Moody Drive would alleviate the need for the Hidden Hills meter. Mr. Norvell also noted that Moody Drive is a platted but unimproved street that terminates into the upper portion of the property. Mr. Larry Claiborne ask if the Moody Drive would be widened. Mr. Norvell stated that with Phase 2 Moody Drive would be widened to provide the Utility Department an access to the master meter. Mr. Norvell noted however that the road would strictly be improved as a Utility access and not for a means of public access to the development. Staff added that the utility plan shows fire hydrants will be located throughout Phase 1 for fire protection. In review of the layout of the hydrants, the Fire Department has requested that revisions to the hydrant locations be considered so that a hydrant can be added along the main road in the vicinity of the pool area to prevent the "back tracking" of emergency equipment.

The Board inquired about the access to the property and the elimination of the East Parkway access from the plan. Mr. Norvell stated that the original request included property along East Parkway that was being considered for purchase to provide additional street frontage for the project but noted that the property purchase had fallen through. Mr. Norvell further noted that due to the existing retaining walls along the East Parkway an access would not be feasible due to poor site distances and obstructed views. The Board asked about the addition of turn lanes on Hidden Hills Road. Staff introduced Mr. Robert Jacks, the project traffic engineer that provided the traffic study data for the project. Mr. Jacks stated that there is no reason at this time to have a turn lane. However, the owners agreed to put the left turn lane in for vehicle traffic leaving Hidden Hills Road to go east on East Parkway. Staff stated that the proposed public right-of-way improvements will necessitate a letter of credit or bond and City Commission approval of the turn lane. Mr. Norvell state the owners would have to do the same for Moody Drive. Staff noted that a copy of the executive summary was provided at the previous preliminary PUD site plan review by the Board and noted that the traffic study was performed assuming full buildout of 105 residential cabins being constructed on the property and completed by the year 2025. In summary, the traffic study does not recommend any major changes to the existing public streets serving the development nor does the development require any new signalization on the public right-of-way. However, the study does recommend verification of adequate site distances at the intersections of the private street and public streets and where private streets intersect within the development. The study recommends new pavement markings indicating turn lanes and traffic stops, stop signage for the development, posting of speed limits on Hidden Hills Road and inside the development, vegetation removal in certain areas for site distance improvements, and suggest that landscaping and street signs be carefully evaluated as to placement near intersections.

Staff explained that the entrance from Hidden Hills Road will serve as the primary ingress and egress point for the property. The plan shows that "Road A" will provide access through Phase 1 which is approximately 1,300 linear feet. The plan depicts indicates that a temporary turnaround will be installed at Sta. 23+00 and that grades for the 1,300-foot length do not exceed 13% grade. The plan also indicates that individual streets "B", "E" and "F" will be constructed in Phase 1 that are all less than 350' in length and less than 12% grade. All streets within the development will be private streets and will be 24' in width.

Further, the viewshed information that will depict the visual impacts from the adjoining Scenic and Landscape Resources of Significance (SLRS's) i.e. East Parkway, has not been included in the review documents. As such, Staff is unable to determine whether the layouts and site improvements, including wall, buildings, etc., are compliant with the minimum standards of design as indicated in the Zoning Ordinance. The landscape plan does show screening techniques for the terraced walls using trees and vines. It is also recommended that all retaining walls and foundation walls in areas visible from East Parkway be either covered with a stone veneer and/or utilize dark coloring to blend the walls with the surrounding environment. Mr. Robert Maples asked if the developers would have to show the visibility impact for Hillside Overlay District Provisions. Staff stated that the developer would have to illustrate the visual impacts and provide mitigation for any visual elements. Staff stated that a significant element of the project is the proposed retaining wall and asked if the retaining walls could possibly have a tint added to the concert mixture such as done in the National Park to blend the walls with the natural surroundings. Mr. Robert Maples

stated he was not sure if Blalock's did this. Mr. Norvell stated that they can add tint into the mixture.

Staff added that the plans depict several areas of grading for roads and unit layouts. There are multiple retaining walls depicted on the plans adjacent the proposed roads and buildings and various areas of grading using a benching technique at 15' height or less over the excavation area. For all freestanding walls, there is a maximum height of 15' before terracing is required. The terracing requirement also applies to regraded slopes. Because the property is located within a Critical Slope Overlay District and a Hillside Overlay District, additional information is needed to determine if the project meets the minimum design standards of those overlay district provisions. At this time, the required soils engineering report and analysis has not been provided for the project to determine whether adequate soils exist for the proposed development and the numerous retaining wall structures. Mr. Norvell noted that the geotechnical analysis of the property had been completed and a report will be provided.

Mr. Norvell noted the various storm water improvements that are planned for the site containing detention basins as well as the use of "Stormtech" chambers throughout the development including at each parking stall location. Mr. Norvell noted that the chambers will be connected with a drainpipe system and collect the roof downspout drainage. Mr. Norvell noted that there are various areas where "temporary Gabion Basket energy dissipator" systems to slow the rate of run-off within the property. Staff noted that at this time, a complete storm water plan with calculations has not be submitted for review. A SWPPP plan will need to be submitted for the property and approved by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation. Mr. Norvell stated that a SWPPP plan will be prepared for the site.

Staff noted that the final PUD site plan lacks the following: Master Deed and Homeowners Legal Documents for the development; stormwater calculations report; Hillside Overlay District viewsheds for the property; Critical Slope Floating Zone geotechnical report for the property; revised utility plan for the revisions to hydrant locations as requested by the Fire Department, and a storm water maintenance plan for the development that will need to be recorded at the Registers of Deeds Office.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Don Smith a motion to approve the request with staff recommendations and Mr. Bud Ogle provided a second which was passed with members voting "aye".

Review and consideration for a proposed rezoning of Tax Map 117, Parcel 232, from R-1A (Low Density Residential) to R-1 (Low Density Residential) District, located at 1844 Hidden Hills Road, requested by Doris O. Marr.

This item was removed by the applicant.

Review and consideration for a commercial site plan approval for "Smoky Mountain Blades Gatlinburg," being Tax Map 126L, Group A, Parcel 10.00, C-1 Zone, requested by Smoky Mountain Blades Gatlinburg.

This item was removed by the applicant.

Review and consideration for preliminary site plan approval for “Gatlinburg SkyPark - Base Building Replacement Project,” being Tax Map 126K, Group E, Parcel 1, C-1 Zone, located at 765 Parkway, requested by Randy Watson for Boyne Resorts.

Staff presented a request for a preliminary commercial site plan approval for the “Gatlinburg SkyPark” – Base Building Replacement Project,” located at 765 Parkway, and being Tax Map 126K, Group E, Parcel 1. Mr. Hank Byma was present to give the project overview and details. Mr. Hank Byma stated that the new concept for the Parkway entrance to the SkyPark was to be educated and entertained while getting your ticket to board the Chairlift. Mr. Byma explained that the customer would enter a queue line and receive information about Gatlinburg and the Great Smoky Mountain National Park. Mr. Byma stated that the new building would be a 2-story building with the second-floor housing 2 offices, a breakroom and restrooms for the public that would be ADA compliant. Mr. Byma stated that there will also be a small, branded retail area within the building.

Mr. Byma stated the ticket window facing the Parkway would have the same material used at the top of the SkyPark making it the gateway to the SkyPark. Mr. Robert Maples ask where the customer would get on the chairlift. Mr. Byma explained that the customer would access the lift in the same location outside the building. Mr. Byma further explained that once a ticket is purchased the customers will enter the queue line which begins outside the building then passes through the building and then back outside of the building to the lift. Mr. Bud Ogle ask if the restrooms were just to serve their customers. Mr. Byma stated the restrooms would be accessible and available to the general public without purchasing a ticket. Mr. Byma stated that the added retail space will be open to the public and therefore the restroom facilities will also be provided for the public. Mr. Byma stated that customers would be able to purchase a ticket in line or from a ticket kiosk. Mr. Byma stated that during the construction period there would be a temporary sales office placed on the property to maintain operations during the construction period. Mr. Robert Maples asked about the anticipated construction period for the project. Mr. Byma stated that hopefully construction would begin in November of the current year.

Mr. Maples ask about the extra property area from the Maples Family. Mr. Byma explained that the Maples Family has agreed to an additional lease area to incorporate the illustrated queue line areas. Mr. Byma noted stated that the added queue line volume increase would greatly benefit the City as the congestion currently being created on and near the public sidewalk will hopefully be eliminated. Staff inquired about the current queue line volume versus the proposed. Mr. Byma and Mr. Watson explained that the current queue line capacity is approximately 200 and the proposed queue line will accommodate approximately 400 persons. Mr. Hank Byma further stated that a canopy would be placed over the queuing areas to shield customers in the line. Mr. Robert Maples ask how the customers would be contained from getting into the garden area of the Hotel. Mr. Byma stated that there would a rope fencing and additional landscaping provided at the boundary with the queue line areas as well as added LED lighting at night. Mr. Maples ask if the restrooms would be open to the public during the remodel. Mr. Byma stated that they would not be. Staff stated that the Public Works Department would want to see the storm drains before a final approval was granted. Mr. Bud Ogle stated all the new development will help with the crowded sidewalks.

The request consists of a preliminary commercial site plan approval for the replacement of the existing “SkyPark” base building located at the intersection of Parkway and Maples Lane. The preliminary site plan depicts the removal of the existing nonconforming commercial building so that a new 2-story building with pedestrian queuing areas can be constructed on the site. The plans indicate that a first story level of 45’-6” x 79’-8” and a second level of 49’-6” x 89’-11” will be constructed to replace the existing building. The new building contains a ticket sales area, retail area, restroom facilities, office spaces, and retail and maintenance storage areas. The plan also includes both indoor and outdoor queuing areas for guests. The current ticket office does not provide for adequate queuing for the SkyPark guests, so the adjoining public sidewalk areas are being impacted during heavy pedestrian volume periods. These additional queuing areas will hopefully relieve some of the current pedestrian congestion on the sidewalk areas adjoining the SkyPark Amusement.

The current “SkyLift” building is located within the front yard setback area along Maples Lane thus, creating an existing nonconforming situation with the property. The new building, while also within the front yard setback area along Maples Lane, will provide an additional 5’ of setback area from Maples Lane. However, in review of the proposed building location, it does appear that the second story of the building slightly encroaches into the front yard setback along Parkway, which does not exist with the current building. As such, the second story will need to be adjusted to meet the 10’ setback along Parkway.

The plan shows that public utilities will be accessed from Maples Lane and brought into the building on the northwest side of the building. The plan also shows that a storm water system will be incorporated into the site with underground storage units and piping. The storm water plan will need to be reevaluated by the Public Works Department to determine the best discharge point to the adjoining public storm water system located in Maples Lane. The Public Works Department has indicated that Maples Lane contains significant underground utilities so a coordinated effort will be needed to ensure utilities for the development. The building elevations indicated that the proposed building height will be 32’-4” above grade. The building height is well below the allowable heights of the C-1 District. The plan also includes a “temporary” building for tickets sales. However, the location of the temporary building has not been identified on the site plan. The temporary building location will need to be included in the final site plan review of the project. The plans include a landscaping plan and lighting plan for the project.

Staff noted that the preliminary site plan lacks the following information: designer certifications and signatures; revised zoning district information to C-1; floor area ratio information; finished grading information; revised utilities plan showing fire line and FDC connection; setback information; revised building locations on Parkway; floodplain boundary information; and site information on the temporary building location.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Bud Ogle made a motion to approve the request and Mr. Larry Claiborne provided a second which was passed with members voting “aye”.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:00 p.m. upon a motion by Mr. Larry Claiborne and second by Mr. Don Smith which was unanimously approved by the Board.

PC Minutes

4/15/2021

10 | Page

Approved:

Jackie Leatherwood
Planning Commission Secretary

5.19.21

Date