Lovell High School

2024-2025 School Accountability Report Card
(Published During the 2025-2026 School Year)

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard.org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2025-26 School Contact Information

School Name	Lovell High School
Street	12724 Avenue 392
City, State, Zip	Cutler, CA 93615
Phone Number	(559) 528-4703
Principal	Victoria Guzman
Email Address	viguzman@cojusd.org
School Website	http://lov.cojusd.org/Lovell-High-School/index.html
Grade Span	
County-District-School (CDS) Code	54718605430806, 54718605430095, 54718605430244

2025-26 District Contact Information

District Name	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified
Phone Number	559-528-4763
Superintendent	Yolanda Valdez
Email Address	yovaldez@cojusd.org
District Website	http://www.cojusd.org

2025-26 School Description and Mission Statement

Lovell High School transitioned to a continuation school in 1969, and is part of the Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District (COJUSD). COJUSD is located in a rural area of California's San Joaquin Valley: forty miles southeast of Fresno, fifteen miles north of Visalia. The District serves two unincorporated towns, Cutler and Orosi, which rely on Tulare County for all library, planning, public health, police, fire protection, and public services. COJUSD also serves unincorporated rural and mountain communities.

Lovell High School offers a core educational program consisting of English, English as a Second Language, Physical Education, sports, mathematics, social studies, science, language arts, and career pathways. In addition, students can earn additional credits through Acellus and other online learning programs. The majority of courses on Acellus meet the rigorous A-G CSU/UC college requirements. Lovell High also has seasonal co-ed sports programs including volleyball, soccer, basketball, and softball. Lovell students have the opportunity to participate in fire fighting, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA), and construction trades pathways. The online pathway offerings have expanded to include:
HVAC Technology I & II (A-G approved)
Information Management I & II (A-G approved)
Plumbing Technology I & II (A-G approved)
Accounting I & II
Principles of Ag, Ag I and Ag II
Electrical Technology I & II (A-G approved)

Lovell High students have the opportunity for a "New Beginning and a Fresh Start" to reach their graduation goals. The caring and supportive staff help embrace the district vision of "Educating Minds and Inspiring Futures." Lovell High graduates will also exhibit the district's vision of being college, career, community ready, and prepared to compete in a global economy. Each year, Lovell hosts a College and Career Day and invites monthly guest speakers to inspire students in different professions. These opportunities are provided to students to expand their horizons and have an opportunity to ask questions to presenters regarding their careers. Students, upon graduation, will exemplify the following characteristics: critical thinkers and collaborative problem-solvers, powerful communicators, creative and quality producers, leaders and ethical decision-makers, and productive citizens.

2025-26 School Description and Mission Statement

Victoria Guzman, Alternative Education Administrator

About this School

2024-25 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 11	29
Grade 12	25
Total Enrollment	54

2024-25 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	50
Male	50
Hispanic or Latino	98.1
White	1.9
English Learners	50
Foster Youth	1.9
Homeless	5.6
Migrant	3.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	98.1
Students with Disabilities	5.6

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	2.6	70.26	155.6	82.87	234405.2	84
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0	0	13.7	7.31	4853	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0	0	8.2	4.41	12001.5	4.3
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	1.1	29.74	7.8	4.18	11953.1	4.28
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0	0	2.2	1.2	15831.9	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	3.8	100	187.7	100	279044.8	100

Note: The data in this table is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2022-23 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	3.4	62.11	149.6	77.8	231142.4	83.24
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0	0	14.8	7.74	5566.4	2
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.1	2.55	13.3	6.94	14938.3	5.38
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	1.5	28.05	2.4	1.26	11746.9	4.23
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0.3	6.74	12	6.25	14303.8	5.15
Total Teaching Positions	5.4	100	192.3	100	277698	100

Note: The data in this table is based on FTE status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2023-24 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	3.8	68.77	144.1	75.14	230039.4	100
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0	0	12.4	6.5	6213.8	2.23
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0	0	15.5	8.09	16855	6.04
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	1.1	20.4	6.7	3.52	12112.8	4.34
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	0.5	10.65	12.9	6.73	13705.8	4.91
Total Teaching Positions	5.5	100	191.8	100	278927.1	100

Note: The data in this table is based on FTE status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0	0
Misassignments	0.00	0.1	0
Vacant Positions	0.00	0	0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.1	0

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0	0
Local Assignment Options	1.10	1.5	1.1
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	1.10	1.5	1.1

Class Assignments

Indicator	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0	0	0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	6	0	0

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

2025-26 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Lovell High School currently uses all state-approved curriculum adopted by COJUSD. Below are the textbook titles Lovell High currently uses:
Online Platforms: Cyber High and Acellus
Earth Science: Inspire Physical Science
English 2: ERWC- Online platform
English 3: ERWC- Online platform
ERWC: ERWC- Online platform
Int Eng El B: Systematic ELD
Life Science: Experience Biology- SAVVAS
US History: United States History and Geography-Continutiy and Change- McGraw Hill
World Hist/Geog: The Modern Era- Prentence Hall
Math 1: EnVision Intergrated Math I- SAVVAS Learning Company
Math 2: EnVision Intergrated Math II- SAVVAS Learning Company
Math 3: Acellus Online Platform

Year and month in which the data were collected	September 2025

Subject	List of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials / Indicate if from Most Recent Adoption / Year of Adoption	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Expository Reading and Writing Course Acellus	0.0%
Mathematics	SAVVAS Learning Company: EnVision Integrated Math I and II	0.0%
Science	SAVVAS Learning Company: Experience Biology McGraw Hill: Inspire Physical Science Acellus	0.0%
History-Social Science	McGraw Hill: United States History and Geography- Continuity and Change Prentice Hall: The Modern Era Cyber High Acellus	0.0%
Foreign Language	Acellus	0.0%
Health	Acellus	0.0%

Visual and Performing Arts	Glencoe: Arts in Focus Acellus	0.0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A	0.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Lovell High School had a rating of 100% on safety, cleanliness, and adequacy. In addition, the school received a ranking of good in all these categories and an overall school rating of Exemplary. At this time, the school is not in need of any facility improvements.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report	November 2025

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments
(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

College and Career Ready
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	13	12	41	45	47	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	0	0	25	29	35	37

2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus

the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	28	26	92.86	7.14	11.54
Female	16	15	93.75	6.25	13.33
Male	12	11	91.67	8.33	9.09
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	28	26	92.86	7.14	11.54
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0
English Learners	17	16	94.12	5.88	6.25
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28	26	92.86	7.14	11.54
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	28	25	89.29	10.71	0.00
Female	16	15	93.75	6.25	0.00
Male	12	10	83.33	16.67	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	28	25	89.29	10.71	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0
English Learners	17	15	88.24	11.76	0.00
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28	25	89.29	10.71	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated in a science assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	0	0	18.55	19.1	30.73	32.33

2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	45	41	91.11	8.89	0.00
Female	23	21	91.30	8.70	0.00
Male	22	20	90.91	9.09	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	45	41	91.11	8.89	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0
English Learners	25	22	88.00	12.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	45	41	91.11	8.89	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--

2024-25 Career Technical Education Programs

Lovell High School has many internal practices, programs and course offerings that focus on College and Career Readiness. Lovell High School has developed a grade 9-12 Work Based Learning Continuum (in alignment to high school WBL Continuum) that ensures college and career awareness and exploration opportunities are provided to all students. All students in grades 9-12 take part in the following activities: Grade Level College Study Trips, Industry Specific Guest Presenters, Industry Specific Facility Tours, School Wide College and Career Showcase, College and Career Fair, National College Signing Day and Leadership Development Opportunities in Career Technical Student Organizations.

Lovell High School has initiated the following practices and college and career initiatives by partnering with the Foundation for California Community Colleges to implement the California Colleges Guidance Initiative (CCGI) Program to ensure student college and career readiness and improve successful transitions to secondary and post-secondary education. Lovell High School provides grade level college and career preparation lessons such as career research, college research, students taking a career interest profile, financial aid, awareness of A-G preparation and much more that are detailed in a District Wide CCGI Implementation Plan. In addition, by the time students transition out of 8th grade, they have also started the initial elements of a Portfolio which includes a resume, letters of recommendation, SMART Goal setting, and a Brag Sheet noting their accomplishments and achievements. This introductory portfolio is in alignment to the secondary Senior Exit Interview and Portfolio Presentation high school graduation requirement; as well as the process to apply to a Career Pathway and or Academy Program of Study. Lovell High School informs parents and students of Career Pathway opportunities and College and Career Readiness via activities, events, and meetings such as a Career Pathway Expo and Registration Night, LCAP

2024-25 Career Technical Education Programs

Forums, and parent workshops.

Lovell students currently have the opportunity to earn Dual Enrollment in Urban Rural Fire Fighting class, Construction Tech/INT, and Pre-Certification Nursing Assistant (CNA). The Urban Rural Fire Fighting class is a two hour Dual Enrollment course with Fresno City College. Upon successful completion of the course, students will be issued an Emergency Medical Responder Card (EMR) and a Health Care Provider (CPR/AED) card; both cards are valid for two (2) years. Lovell students can participate in the two hour block of construction tech. There are several pathway courses available via Acellus. The CNA course is also a two-hour block and prepares students to take the state certification test for Certified Nursing Assistants (CNA).

2024-25 Career Technical Education (CTE) Participation

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	12
Percent of Pupils that Complete a CTE Program and Earn a High School Diploma	25
Percent of CTE Courses that are Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	

2024-25 Course Enrollment/Completion

This table displays the course enrollment/completion of University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission requirements.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	98.18
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2024-25 California Physical Fitness Test Participation Rates

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT requires only participation results for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	--	--	--	--	--
Grade 7	--	--	--	--	--
Grade 9	--	--	--	--	--

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2025-26 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

The Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District has six parent involvement goals:
1. Help parents develop parenting skills and foster conditions at home that support children's efforts in learning.
2. Provide parents with knowledge of techniques designed to assist children in learning at home.
3. Provide access to and coordinate community and support services for children and families.
4. Promote clear, two-way communication between the school and the family as to school programs and children's progress.
5. Involve parents, after appropriate training, in instructional and support roles at the school.
6. Support parents as decision-makers and develop their leadership in governance, advisory, and advocacy roles.

Parents are invited to participate in a variety of school activities, including how to navigate through online platforms. For example, parents receive information regarding their students' Google Classroom and parent access to our new parent communication platform, Apptegy. Other parent nights include: Report Card Night, PBIS, and Coffee with the Counselor & Admin. Parents are invited to be involved with School Site Council (SSC) and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings. In addition, parents attend athletic events on our campus. Parents are encouraged to enroll in our district adult school ESL and diploma programs. If you would like to participate in any school activities, please contact the administrator.

Victoria Guzman, Alternative Education Administrator (559) 528-4703

C. Engagement

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school Dropout Rates;
- High school Graduation Rates; and
- Chronic Absenteeism

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
Dropout Rate	9.3	4.7	30.8	4.4	3	4.7	8.2	8.9	8
Graduation Rate	86	93	69.2	92.9	94.9	94.4	86.2	86.4	87.5

2024-25 Graduation Rate by Student Group (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

This table displays the 2023-24 graduation rate by student group. For information on the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), visit the CDE Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/acgrinfo.asp.

Student Group	Number of Students in Cohort	Number of Cohort Graduates	Cohort Graduation Rate
All Students	26	18	69.2
Female	--	--	--
Male	17	12	70.6
Non-Binary	0.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.00
Asian	0	0	0.00
Black or African American	0	0	0.00
Filipino	0	0	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	26	18	69.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00
Two or More Races	0	0	0.00
White	0	0	0.00
English Learners	16	11	68.8
Foster Youth	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26	18	69.2
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--

For information on the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), visit the CDE Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/acgrinfo.asp.

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2024-25 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	78	76	65	85.5
Female	36	35	30	85.7
Male	42	41	35	85.4
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	77	75	64	85.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
English Learners	40	39	32	82.1
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	78	76	65	85.5
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions data.

Suspensions								
School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
12.07	11.96	14.1	4.93	4.04	3.27	3.6	3.28	2.94

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

This table displays expulsions data.

Expulsions								
School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
0.86	0	0	0.37	0.2	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.06

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2024-25 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	14.10	0.00
Female	5.56	0.00
Male	21.43	0.00
Non-Binary	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	12.99	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	17.50	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	14.10	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.00	0.00

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2025-26 School Safety Plan

Our top priority is to maintain a school environment that is safe, clean, and orderly. Administration and staff members (certificated and classified) provide student supervision on the grounds before school, during breaks, during lunch, and after school. Students who do not follow school rules receive the consequences according to the California Education Codes and policies adopted by the School Board. We implement PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention Support) at our school. We emphasize positive reinforcement and good choices. A school safety plan has been developed, approved, and put into operation. All district administration has been trained on ActVnet for all drills and the staff reviews the plan every August at the onset of the school year. The safety plan is updated annually and was most recently updated and reviewed by the staff on August 7, 2025. Emergency procedures and safety drills are reviewed as needed at weekly staff meetings. The site also employs a part-time security guard and has a resource officer from the Tulare County Sheriff's Department to ensure safety. Regular drills are conducted via the ActVnet platform to ensure that all students and staff know how to respond in case of any type of emergency. The site reviews the Safety Plan with School Site Council (SSC) English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) every January which includes staff, parents and a student representative. We continually discuss our safety procedures to maintain a secure site with all stakeholders.

2022-23 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	18	4	1	0
Mathematics	15	2	1	0
Science	12	3	1	0
Social Science	10	3	0	0

2023-24 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	12	8		
Mathematics	8	4		
Science	7	4		
Social Science	12	7		

2024-25 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2024-25 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	13	8		
Mathematics	14	4		
Science	14	2		
Social Science	11	6		

2024-25 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2024-25 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. The "Other" category is for all other student support services staff positions not listed.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	0.9

Fiscal Year 2023-24 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2023-24 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	$46,131.02	$ 882.20	$ 40,998.30	$ 79,132
District	N/A	N/A	$4,250.52	$92,710
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-200.0	-200.0
State	N/A	N/A	$11,146	$92,686
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-200.0	-200.0

Fiscal Year 2024-25 Types of Services Funded

A variety of additional programs and services are provided through categorical or other funds.
Categorical
Supplemental instructional programs (after-school support, instructional aides, Acellus, Cyber High)
Supplemental books and reference materials
Supplemental services (Wifi services, program licenses)
Professional Learning (Instructional teacher leads, travel and conference, professional services)
Supplemental materials and supplies
Non-Cap Equipment (technology)
LCFF/LCAP
The majority of supplemental funds are comprised by LCAP funding. Actions and services provided by the LCAP are all supplemental and aligned to the District Goals (achieve academics, build human capacity, create effective and efficient systems. A link to the District LCAP plan can be found on the District Website (www.cojusd.org).

Fiscal Year 2023-24 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2023-24 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	$62,534	$57,978
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	$91,435	$89,612
Highest Teacher Salary	$119,557	$117,194
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	$155,827	$143,632
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	$143,504	$149,447
Average Principal Salary (High)	$180,049	$162,334
Superintendent Salary	$268,088	$234,076
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	20.67%	27.81%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	3.57%	5.47%

2024-25 Advanced Placement (AP) Courses

This table displays the percent of student in AP courses at this school.

Percent of Students in AP Courses	0

This table displays the number of AP courses offered at this school where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered
Computer Science	0
English	0
Fine and Performing Arts	0
Foreign Language	0
Mathematics	0
Science	0
Social Science	0
Total AP Courses Offered Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.	0

Professional Development

The school's staff has participated in a variety of Professional Learning to address the needs of our English Learners, Student Engagement, and Positive Behaviors. Staff received additional in Google Classroom, Thrillshare parent communication, Acellus, and Integrated ELD and Designated ELD. Since we are a small site, our teachers are considered "singletons." We recently contracted with Global PD and Solution Tree to provide targeted training for "singletons" to run effective PLCs. We continue to encourage writing across all content areas and support our English Language Learners. This school year, we finalized our training with Solution Tree. Teachers are now sustaining the practices learned from the Singleton training. The District provides 35 Late Start Wednesdays for professional collaboration. In addition, all staff members participate in monthly extended days of professional development to work with colleagues in the implementation of best practices. These PL topics are chosen based on current Instructional Rounds.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	4	4	3

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION **Cutler Orosi Joint Unified School District**		**COUNTY** **Tulare County**	
SCHOOL SITE **Lovell High/ Community Day School/ Esperanza High School**		**SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)** **Alternative Education**	**NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE:** 10 **NUMBER OF RESTROOMS ON SITE:** 6
INSPECTOR'S NAME **Juan Garcilazo**	**INSPECTOR'S TITLE: Lead Custodian** **CONSULTANT**	**NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)**	
TOTAL ESTIMATED BUILDING VOLUME (CUBIC FEET):	**TIME OF INSPECTION 9:45 AM**	**SITE ENROLLMENT**	**97**
TOTAL ESTIMATED SITE SQUARE FOOTAGE/ ACREAGE: 5.62	**WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION**		
TOTAL ESTIMATED BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE: 1450	**Sunny**		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
11	Number of "OK"s:	11	11	5	11	11	11	11	6	6	11	2	11	11	1	11
	Number of "D"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Number of N/As:	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	9	0	0	10	0
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)*		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		100.00%			100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		100.00%		100.00%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		GOOD			GOOD	GOOD		GOOD	GOOD		GOOD		GOOD		GOOD	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:	**DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE** →	100.00%	**SCHOOL RATING**** →	**EXEMPLARY**

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75.%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: Everything looks good and will check back in a month.

DISTRICT'S RESPONSES TO REPORT (Attach additional pages if necessary):

Format Text Size

PART IIa: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 11/17/2025

School Name: Lovell High/ Community Day School/ Esperanza High School

Building | Area Name	Estimated Square Footage	1 GAS LEAKS	2 MECH/HVAC	3 SEWER	4 INTERIOR SURFACES	5 OVERALL CLEANLINESS	6 PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	7 ELECTRICAL	8 RESTROOM	9 SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	10 FIRE SAFETY	11 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	12 STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	13 ROOFS	14 PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	15 WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCE
District's Plan to Address:																
Deficiencies Noted In Prior Year?																

Marks: OK = Good Repair; D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
Use Additional Area Lines as necessary.

OK D X NA

Format Text Size

PART IIb: CLEANLINESS DETAIL Date of Inspection: 11/17/2025 School Name: Lovell High/ Community Day School/ Esperanza High School

NUMBER OF CUSTODIAL STAFF ASSIGNED TO SITE: 2

Building | Area Name	Area Characteristics (Grade level serves, events, traffix volume, public usage, etc.)	1 Floors	2 Walls & Doors	3 Desks & Counters	4 Furniture	5 Baseboards/ Window Sill	6 Light Fixtures	7 Sinks	8 Trash / Refuse	9 Windows	10 Water Fountains	11 Toilets	12 Mirrors & Hand Dryers	13 Bathroom Supplies	14 Graffiti	15 Landscaping	16 Rating
Admin		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	100
Multi-Room		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	100
Adult Education		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	100
Esperanza Room		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	100
Student Restrooms		TRUE	TRUE	NA	NA	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	100
Rm 6 & 7		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	TRUE	TRUE	NA	NA	NA	NA	TRUE	NA	100
Rm 8: CDS		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	100
Rm 9 & 10		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	TRUE	TRUE	NA	NA	NA	NA	TRUE	NA	100
Room 107 & Library		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	TRUE	TRUE	NA	NA	NA	NA	TRUE	NA	100
Rm 111 & 112		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	NA	TRUE	TRUE	NA	NA	NA	NA	TRUE	NA	100
Grounds		TRUE	TRUE	NA	NA	NA	TRUE	NA	TRUE	TRUE		NA	NA	NA	TRUE	TRUE	100
District's Plan to Address:																	
Deficiencies Noted In Prior Year?																	

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as “other.”

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an “X” on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a “poor” rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	**No Deficiency - Good Repair:** Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	**Deficiency:** Mark “D” if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	**Extreme Deficiency**: Indicate “X” if the area has a deficiency that is considered an “Extreme Deficiency” in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	**Not Applicable:** If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark “NA”.

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

• **Gas** and **Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark “NA” and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.

• **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.

• **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.

• The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked “NA.”

• **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked “NA.”

• **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating’s Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the school district should be provided the opportunity to provide comments and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks
Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
c. Other

Mechanical Systems
Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
e. Other

Overall Cleanliness
School grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.
b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, or buildup. Floors and carpets shoulda appear to have been swept or cleaned within the last week. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities area adequately stocked and odor free. (OK)
c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, or stained. Corners of the room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or grime buildup. Floors do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Some light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)
d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust, dirt, stains, or trash. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have burned out. There is trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a foul odor. (X)
e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
f. Other

Part IIb (Optional) - The Cleanliness Detail worksheet may be used to evaluate the Overall Cleanliness of each area. Based on Part IIb, use the following to complete Part IIa:
a. If 75.0 percent or more of the review is "Yes", the area should be rated clean (OK).
b. If 50 - 74.9 percent of the review is "Yes", the area should be rated "Deficient (D)".
c. If 49.9 percent or less of the review is "Yes", the area should be rated Extreme Deficiency (X)

1. Floors swept, vacuumed, and/or mopped. Free of spots stains, and build up.
2. Walls and Doors free of spots and grime.
3. Desk and Counters clean.
4. Furniture dusted and clean.
5. Baseboards and window sills dusted and clean.
6. Light fixtures clean.
7. Sink clean and drains working properly.
8. Trash cans are empty and clean. The ground is free of trash. Floors and furniture are free
9. Windows are free from damage, clean, and in working condition.
10. Water fountains, including handles/buttons, are clean and in working condition.
11. Toilets and bathroom sinks are clean and in working condition.
12. Mirrors and Hand Dryers are clean, intact, and in working condition.
13. Bathroom supplies are stocked and in working condition.
14. Area is free of graffitti.
15. Landscaping - Maintained sufficiently to not hinder student and staff.

Pest/Vermin Infestation
Pest or vermin infestation are not evident.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
e. There are no live rodents observed.
f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)
2. Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples include but are not

c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may
d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear

limited to the following:

a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
c. Other

3. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
b. Lighting is not flickering.
c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5) and AB 367 (EC Section 35292.6). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892 and AB 367:

a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
b. Restrooms are fully operational.
c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
b. Water pressure is adequate.
c. A leak is not evident.
d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
b. Open “S” hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
e. Doors are intact.
f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
i. Other